

This project is funded by the European Union via the Technical Support Instrument, and implemented by UNESCO, in cooperation with the European Commission. This document was produced with the financial assistance of the European Union. The views expressed herein can in no way be taken to reflect the official opinion of the European Union.



Models of in-government consulting TSI project 23LV29: Developing in-house consulting in Austria, Belgium, the

Austrian Community of Practice on Quality for Governance 11 June 2025, Vienna, Austria

Czech Republic, France, Greece and Latvia



Objectives

- Reduced dependency on private sector expertise, increased in-house consulting capacity in selected service portfolio.
- Established network of in-house experts among the participating Member States (i.e., Austria, Belgium, the Czech Republic, France, Greece, and Latvia)

Outcomes

- Approved action plan to establish in-house consulting focusing on a selected service portfolio adapted to each MS.
- Capacity built for the implementation of the action plan.
- Increased awareness on the importance of in-house consulting and creation of an EU network of experts.

Project design

26-month span

Tight sequencing

Output overlap

Snowball effect







Outcome C: creation of an EU network of experts

Outcome B: capacity built for the implementation of the action plan

-02.2026

Overall project management

approved action plan

Outcome A:

01.2024

- Output/deliverable 0-1: Inception report
- Output/deliverable 0-2: Project presentation and communication materials
- Output/deliverable 0-3: Presentation to other networks (PACE, EUPAN, PAG expert group)
- Output/deliverable A-1 (country specific): As-is report
- Output/deliverable A-2: Tobe report, including 3 scenarios
- Output/deliverable A-3
 (country specific):
 Roadmap/action plan for the target model

Output/deliverable B-1: Implementation report on support for the implementation of the action plan

- Output/deliverable C-1: Design of a change management plan
- Output/deliverable C-2: Implementation report of the change management plan
- Output/deliverable C-3: Two horizontal workshops with beneficiaries from all participating MS
- > Output/deliverable C-4: Blueprint

! CRITICAL ELEMENT:

Political decision on the target model and the action plan the implementation of the reform.

! CRITICAL ELEMENTS:

Outcome depends on the political decision on Outcome 1 and on the definition of the service portfolio

! CRITICAL ELEMENT:

Output C-1 and C-2 progress in parallel with outcome A



In-house/in-government consulting

- Dedicated service with a staffed team of experts and advisors who consults domestically and/or internationally on selected projects and priority consulting engagements.
- In-house consulting developed through this project will operate within the government and provide services to governmental clients.

Service portfolio

- Set of priority areas the in-house consulting will focus on.
- Member States to converge around three:
 - Al in/for public administrations;
 - Crisis and resilience, with a focus on futures and foresight;
 - Policy evaluation and impact assessment.

Functional scope

Set of priority service lines for the in-house consulting.



Functional scope of in-house consulting

Externally oriented

Triage

Assess and manage needs for external consultancy

Value

Reduce expenditure; maximise value from required consultancy

Knowledge

Generate. capture, retain, reuse knowledge (incl. IT solutions, KM protocols)

Skills

Develop staff skills and competencies

Coordination

Standardise and coordinate gov. analytical work

Consulting

Co-define assignments; provide inhouse consulting services

Implementation

Support implementation of selected projects

Internally oriented

Objectives:

- Reduce reach to the market and expenditure on external consulting engagements
- Maximize and retain value from the required external consulting engagements
- **Build internal human and institutional capacities to meet consulting needs**
- Develop support and expert networks, maximize cross-fertilization



Existing models of government consulting

Embedded consulting service

Australian Government Consulting (Australia) L'Agence de conseil interne de l'État (France) Government Consulting Hub (United Kingdom, closed)

Standalone government agency

Expertise France (France) Vinnova (Sweden)

State-owned company

Mo.Re (Cyprus, closed)
HAUS (Finland)
PD – Berater der öffentlichen Hand (Germany)



Embedded consulting service (1)



- Government unit or department.
- Embeds into existing structures and leverages mandates.
- Steers sector-wide consultancy engagements; provides inhouse consulting services.
- Positions well on cross-ministerial issues; potential to drive insourcing at scale and ensure internal coordination.

Functional scope

- Assumes all or a substantial subset of Triage, Value maximisation, Skills, Knowledge management, Coordination and Consulting functions.
- Rarely assumes Implementation support function.



- Staffed with civil servants with expertise in the functional and thematic areas of in-house consulting.
- Staff, especially at the executive levels, typically have prior consulting backgrounds.

Expediency and political feasibility

- Expedient due to optimization of existing structures rather than creation of new entities that may be perceived as an expansion of the public sector.
- Responds to concerns over public sector integrity, perceived competence and public trust, including calls to retain control on 'core' government work.

! Key considerations

- Susceptibility to budgetary constraints, internal optimization and cuts.
- Possible challenges in proving its cost-effectiveness and in recovering costs.



Standalone government agency (2)



- Standalone government agency, own governance framework.
- Provides analysis, research, capacity building, and consulting services to public sector clients.
- Public funding, grant-based funding and private sector partnerships.
- May serve both domestic and international clients.

Functional scope

- Assumes Skills, Knowledge management, and Consulting, and Implementation support functions.
- Typically does not assume Triage, Value maximisation, and Coordination functions.

Staffing and expertise

- Staff with academic, consulting and civil service backgrounds.
- Draws expertise and collaborators from across the government and sectors.
- Senior-level appointments, including the DG and Board of Directors, may be made by national governments.

Expediency and political feasibility

- Possibility of being perceived as government expansion.
- Expedient for MS that opt for lean internal operations, while developing the required consulting capabilities.
- Responds to concerns over public sector integrity and facilitates alignment with government priorities.

! Key considerations

- Requires cross-sectoral reform, including with education and research, to draw on their infrastructure.
- Draws in foreign affairs when international reach or links to ID priorities are foreseen.



State-owned company (3)



- State-owned, for-profit consulting firm; shareholders classified as public contracting authorities.
- Direct contracting of the firm's services by public sector clients/shareholders possible.
- May serve both domestic and international clients.

Functional scope

- Assumes Skills (training), Consulting, and Implementation support functions.
- Typically does not assume Triage, Value maximisation, Knowledge, Skills (workforce) and Coordination functions.

Staffing and expertise

- Employees with civil service, research and management consulting backgrounds
- Additional networks of subcontractors and consortia.

Expediency and political feasibility

- May be less expedient and feasible where creation of a new entities is perceived as expansion of the public sector and does not garner the required support.
- Contingent on robust and sustained political mandate, inconsistent backing risks dismantling (e.g., Cyprus' Mo.Re).

! Key considerations

- Sizable initial investment needed, with a long maturation period before reaching full maturity.
- Lack of competitive bidding may impact competition, limit innovation and challenge the firm.

